

$$(P \Leftrightarrow q) \wedge \neg P$$

P	q	$P \Leftrightarrow q$	$\neg P$	$(P \Leftrightarrow q) \wedge \neg P$
T	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T

$$q \Rightarrow (p \vee q) = \neg(p \wedge q)$$

P	q	$P \vee q$	$q \Rightarrow (P \vee q)$	$P \wedge q$	$\neg(P \wedge q)$
T	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T

logically  
equivalent

# Chapter

# 8

## Logic

### Contents:

- A** Propositions
- B** Compound propositions
- C** Truth tables and logical equivalence
- D** Implication and equivalence
- E** Converse, inverse, and contrapositive
- F** Valid arguments

**Syllabus reference: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4**

**E****CONVERSE, INVERSE, AND CONTRAPOSITIVE**

Consider the propositions:

$p$ : the triangle is isosceles,

$q$ : two angles of the triangle are equal,

Write the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  in words.

If the triangle is isosceles, then two angles of the triangle are equal.

What is the negation of  $p$ ? Of  $q$ ?

$\neg p$ : the triangle is not isosceles

$\neg q$ : two angles of the triangle are not equal

**E****CONVERSE, INVERSE, AND CONTRAPOSITIVE**

If the triangle is isosceles, then two angles of the triangle are equal

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$p$ : the triangle is isosceles,

$q$ : two angles of the triangle are equal,

words

symbols

converse:

If two angles of the triangle are equal then the triangle is isosceles

$$q \rightarrow p$$

inverse:

If the triangle is not isosceles, then two angles of the triangle are not equal

$$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$$

contrapositive:

If two angles of the triangle are not equal, then the triangle is not isosceles

$$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

# THE CONVERSE

The converse has truth table:

*Converse*

$p$	$q$	$q \Rightarrow p$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	T

# THE INVERSE

The inverse has truth table:

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

This is the same truth table as  $q \Rightarrow p$ ,

so the converse and inverse of an implication are logically equivalent.

# THE CONTRAPOSITIVE

The **contrapositive** has truth table:

$p$	$q$	$\neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

The truth table for  $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$  is the same as that for  $p \Rightarrow q$ ,

so the implication and its contrapositive are logically equivalent.



Complete Exercise 8 E